

ASFO NEPA DOCUMENT ROUTING SHEET

Number: AZ-110-2005-00

Project Title: **Concrete Images filming permit - AZA-**

Project Lead: Linda Barwick

Date that concurrent, electronic distribution for review was initiated: 8/19/2004

Deadline for receipt of responses: **8/2/2005 THIS PERMIT HAS A QUICK TURN AROUND BECAUSE THEY WANT TO START FILMING 8/3/2005. PLEASE REVIEW AND COMMENT AND HAVE BACK TO ME BY 8/2/2004.**

Required Reviews:

Gloria Benson, Native American Coordinator

Tom Folks, Recreation

Laurie Ford, Lands/Realty/Minerals

Michael Herder, Wildlife

John Herron, Cultural

Lee Hughes, Plants

Ray Klein, GCPNM Supervisory Ranger

Linda Price, S&G

Bob Sandberg, Range

Richard Spotts, Environmental Coordinator

Ron Wadsworth, Supervisory Law Enforcement

Becky Hammond, Field Manager

Discretionary Reviews:

Waiting for Serial Number from the State Office.

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT
ARIZONA STRIP FIELD OFFICE
CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION REVIEW

CX-AZ-110-2005-58

PROJECT TITLE: Concrete Images, filming permit – AZA-

PROJECT LEAD: Linda Barwick

PROPOSED ACTION: Concrete Images are proposing to film an Audi vehicle commercial for a **1 day** shoot to take place August 3, 2005. The proposed location is on Highway 89A past Navajo Bridge to Marble Canyon Lodge. Activities will consist of highway shots for a television commercial for an Audi vehicle filming on BLM land with the Vermilion Cliffs in the background. The right-of-way is 400 ft. wide and they will be using existing pullouts along the highway. There will be approximately twenty (20) crew members, one (1) motor home, two to three (2-3) fifteen passenger vans, and one (1) grip truck during the filming process on BLM land. The Department of Public Safety will have 2 officers to monitor traffic. There will be no aircraft used.

A Certificate of Insurance

No bond is required.

LOCATION OF PROPOSED ACTION: The area is located in the following area:

Gila and Salt River Meridian, Coconino County, Arizona
T. 39 N., R. 6 E., secs. 13, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27.
T. 39 N., R. 7 E., secs. 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 18.
(along Highway 89A right-of-way)
1200 acres, more or less

PLAN CONFORMANCE REVIEW: The proposed action has been reviewed for conformance with the Arizona Strip District Implementation Plan and Standards and Guidelines RMP Amendments (1998). The proposed action **is** in conformance with the RMP. Decision **LR23** provides to evaluate land use authorizations (rights-of way, permits, leases, easements) on a case-by-case basis in accordance with decisions established in the Resource Management Plan National Environmental Policy Act analysis.

CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION REVIEW: The proposed action is categorically excluded under 516 DM 6, Appendix 5.4.E(19) which provides for the issuance of short-term (3 years or less) rights-of-way or land use authorizations for such uses as storage sites, apiary sites, and construction sites where the proposal includes rehabilitation to restore the land to its natural or original condition.

The proposal has been reviewed to determine if any of the exceptions described in 516 DM 2, Appendix 2, apply. Surname(s) verify completion of this review by appropriate specialists.

NAME **LIST OF EXCLUSION CRITERIA** *Assign surnames for determination under each below*

- | | |
|-----------------|---|
| <u>LBarwick</u> | 1. The proposal would have no adverse effects on public health or safety: <i>Identify the effect if any</i> |
| <u>TFolks</u> | 2. The proposal would not adversely affect unique geographic characteristics such as park, recreation, or refuge lands, wilderness areas, wilderness study areas, wild and scenic rivers, sole or principal drinking water aquifers, prime farmlands, wetlands, floodplains, or ecologically significant or critical areas, including those listed on the Department's National Register of Natural Landmarks: <i>Identify the area that would be affected if any</i> |

- JHerron 3. The proposal would have no adverse effects on historic or cultural resources: *Identify the effect if any*
- LBarwick 4. The proposal would have no highly controversial environmental effects: *Identify the effect if any*
- LBarwick 5. The proposal would have no highly uncertain or potentially significant environmental effects nor does it involve unique or unknown environmental risks: *Identify the effect if any*
- LBarwick 6. The proposal would not establish a precedent for future action or represents a decision in principle about a future consideration with potentially significant environmental effects: *Identify the effect if any*
- LBarwick 7. The proposal is not directly related to other actions with individually insignificant, but cumulatively significant effects: *Identify the other actions and their effects if any*
- JHerron 8. The proposal would not adversely affect properties listed or eligible for listing in the National Register of Historic Places: *Identify the effect if any*
- LHughes 9. The proposal would not adversely affect a plant species listed or proposed to be listed on the list of endangered and threatened species, nor have adverse effects on designated critical habitat for these species: *Identify the species and effect if any*
- MHerder 10. The proposal would not adversely affect an animal species listed or proposed to be listed on the list of endangered and threatened species, nor have adverse effects on designated critical habitat for these species: *Identify the species and effect if any*
- LBarwick 11. The proposal would not require compliance with Executive Order 11988 (Floodplain Management) or Executive Order 11990 (Protection of Wetlands). *Identify the order and effect if any*
- MHerder 12. The proposal would not require compliance with the Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act: *Identify the effect if any*
- GBenson 13. The proposal does not threaten to violate a federal, state, local or tribal law or requirement imposed for the protection of the environment: *Identify the law and effect if any*
- LFord 14. The proposal is in conformance with the Arizona Strip District Resource Management Plan/ Environmental Impact Statement (January, 1992)

DECISION: We have reviewed this plan conformance and NEPA compliance record and have determined that the proposal is in conformance with the approved land use plan, that it would have no significant environmental effects, and that no further environmental analysis is required.

REVIEWED BY: _____ **DATE:** _____
Environmental Coordinator - Arizona Strip

IT IS MY DECISION TO IMPLEMENT THE PROPOSAL, AS DESCRIBED, WITH THE STIPULATIONS IN THE ATTACHMENT.

APPROVED BY: _____ **DATE:** _____
Field Manager - Arizona Strip

SPECIAL CONDITIONS FOR PERMIT AZA-

1. The holder shall conduct all activities associated with the operation and termination of the permit within the authorized limits of the permit.
2. Use areas shall be maintained in a sanitary condition at all times; waste materials at those areas shall be disposed of promptly at an appropriate waste disposal site. "Waste" means all discarded matter including, but not limited to, human waste, trash, garbage, refuse, oil drums, petroleum products, ashes, and equipment.
3. The BLM reserves the right to take photographs of any aspect of filming operations for official case file records.
4. No staging areas or off-road vehicle use are authorized. Only existing pullouts along Highway 89A may be used for parking of support vehicles described in the application. No mechanized vehicles are authorized within Wilderness.
5. The use of pyrotechnical equipment or effects must be proposed in advance, specifically authorized, and requires that a BLM representative be present during such activity.
6. Permittee shall not damage, collect, or introduce plants or animals at any location(s) authorized by a permit.
7. Permittee shall be responsible for the supervision of all participants, spectators, and other persons associated with the activity, and will be responsible for public safety on-site.
8. Permittee must contact and receive concurrence and license, where required from all state, county, and local governmental agencies having jurisdiction, concern, or interest in this activity.
9. Permittee shall be responsible for ensuring adequate sanitation facilities for participants is provided.
10. This permit does not give permission to cross over or use any private land. The permittee will be fully responsible for all trespass on and/or damages to private land which results from the permittee's activity.
11. Disclosure of all aspects of the proposed activity must be completely described in the application. Any changes to the proposed activity must be approved in advance by the authorized officer.
12. Permittee will do everything reasonable, both independently and/or upon request of the authorized officer to prevent and suppress fires caused by their activity on or near lands utilized. Compensation may be required of the permittee for Federal, state, or private interests in suppression and rehabilitation expenses.